

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

VI. — Notes on the Bucolic Diaeresis.

By Prof. SAMUEL ELIOT BASSETT,

UNIVERSITY OF VERMONT.

THE object of this paper is twofold: (1) to discuss the appropriateness of the name 'bucolic' as given to the diaeresis at the end of the fourth foot in dactylic hexameter, and (2) to examine the use of this pause by the Homeric poet from the standpoint of the connection of thought.

Marius Victorinus tells us (p. 114 K.) that this pause received the epithet 'bucolic' because of its frequent use by the bucolic poets. This statement is somewhat misleading. The Alexandrian poets generally (Aratus, however, uses it less than Homer) showed a fondness for it, and if all the genuine extant idylls of Theocritus be compared with the Hymns of Callimachus and the Argonautica of Apollonius Rhodius, it will be found that the diaeresis in question, at least when regarded as a pause in the sense, was used more frequently by both the latter poets. It is rather in the bucolic poems that its use abounds. A word ends with the fourth foot in 74 per cent of the verses of these poems, and there is a pause in the sense sufficient to warrant the use of at least a comma in 22 per cent (Kunst, de Theocriti versu heroico, Leipzig, 1887, p. 54), as compared with 19 per cent for the Hymns and 20 per cent for the Argonautica. But even in the bucolic idylls we do not find the most frequent occurrence of the bucolic diaeresis. In the 134 hexameter verses of the Epigrams of Callimachus (ed. Wilamowitz-Möllendorf, Berlin, 1882) there are but ten in which a word does not end with the fourth foot, and 60 per cent of the verses have a pause in the sense here. Furthermore, at times the Homeric poet uses the diaeresis quite as frequently as Theocritus In K 149-154, N 161-166, and v 209-214 there is at least a slight pause in sense at the end of the fourth foot for six consecutive verses. In Ω 81–101 a word ends here in

every verse. In N 682-697 half of the verses have a mark of punctuation at the same place. The lament of Andromache for Hector, Ω 725-745, a literary unit comparable in length with the ninth idyll of Theocritus, shows a word-ending at the bucolic diaeresis in 95 per cent of the verses, and a pause in sense in 33 per cent. It is clear, therefore, that this diaeresis is not used most frequently in the bucolic poems, and hence the epithet 'bucolic' is not justified on this ground.

But the fondness of Theocritus for this pause is indicated also, as Fritzsche has shown (*Theocrits Eidyllen*, Leipzig, 1857, pp. 12, 41, 44), by the way in which he used it. Anaphora is often found after the bucolic diaeresis, the last two feet of the verse echoing the thought of the first four, e.g.:

Id. i. 66-67: πῷ ποκ' ἄρ' ἦσθ' ὅτε Δάφνις ἐτάκετο, πῷ ποκα, Νύμφαι;
 ἢ κατὰ Πηνειῶ καλὰ τέμπεα, ἢ κατὰ Πίνδω;

Other passages which show the poet's use of the pause to produce this and other kinds of rhetorical balance are:—

i. 64 (cf. 127), 80, 100–101, 105–106; ii. 15–16; iv. 31; v. 14, 104, 112–114, 122–124; vii. 3–4, 24, 57, 71–72, 78, 84, 105; ix. 7–8, 33–34. Cf. Verg. *Ecl.* viii. 7–8.

These verses make it clear that the bucolic poet intended to emphasize the importance of this pause in his bucolic idylls. But this use of the diaeresis cannot be regarded as an innovation on his part. Theoritus did only what Homer had done before him. A careful reading of the *Iliad* and *Odyssey* with this subject in mind will reveal scores, if not hundreds, of verses in which the bucolic diaeresis is employed to produce a rhetorical effect. The following will serve as examples:—

Β 90 αἱ μέν τ' ἔνθα ἄλις πεποτήαται, αἱ δέ τε ἴνθα ΄
 Ι 38ι οὐδ' ὅσ' ἐς ՝Ορχομενὸν ποτινίσσεται, οὐδ' ὅσα Θήβας γ 109 ἔνθα μὲν Αἴας κεῖται ἀρήιος, ἔνθα δ' 'Αχιλλεύς, θ 488 ἢ σέ γε μοῦσ' ἐδίδαξε, Διὸς πάις, ἢ σέ γ' 'Απόλλων 'ψ 67–68 αὐτὰρ 'Οδυσσεὺς ὧλεσε τηλοῦ νόστον 'Αχαιίδος, ὥλετο δ' αὐτός.

I have noted the following verses in which anaphora occurs after the pause at the end of the fourth foot:—

A 142, B 90, 363, 507, I 381, K 170, A 776, N 131 (II 215), 308, 738, Ξ 234 (P 635, 713), O 714, II 12, P 85, 431, Σ 472, 536 (Ω 530, δ 102, λ 303, Σ 159, Ω 10), Ω 408 (λ 175, ρ 577), α 24, γ 109, δ 821, θ 488, μ 105, ν 203 (cf. Theoc. i, 80 quoted above), χ 47, ψ 68, ω 291, θ 322 (cf. Theoc. i, 66), τ 563, A 395, K 84, 174, 445, Ω 47, 221, 0 84, 168, π 100, ν 297, ϕ 197, ζ 103 (cf. Theoc. i, 67 quoted above), E 751 (Θ 395, λ 525), K 109, A 93, 548, B 202, E 521, 817 (N 224), N 513, P 20, 367, T 262, β 26, Ω 157 (186), γ 127, δ 690, ϵ 104 (138), ζ 192, θ 563, ϵ 108, 122, π 203, ϕ 108, E 827, Θ 7, π 302, σ 416 (ν 324), Σ 102, 185, θ 298, μ 77 (434), γ 96 (δ 326), K 422, T 306, ξ 82, 94, π 27, β 273.

A striking use of anaphora after the bucolic diaeresis is found in γ 429-435. Nestor is preparing to sacrifice to Athena on the morning after the arrival of Telemachus, and sends one of his sons for the heifer, another for the smith, and another to summon the companions of Telemachus from the ship. The narrative continues:—

ως έφαθ', οι δ' ἄρα πάντες ἐποίπνυον. ἢλθε μὲν ἄρ βοῦς ἐκ πεδίου, ἢλθον δὲ θοῆς παρὰ νηὸς ἐίσης Τηλεμάχου ἔταροι μεγαλήτορος, ἢλθε δὲ χαλκεὺς ὅπλ' ἐν χερσὶν ἔχων χαλκήϊα, πείρατα τέχνης, (ἄκμονά τε σψῦράν τ' ἐυποίητόν τε πυράγρην,) οἷσίν τε χρυσὸν εἰργάζετο: ἢλθε δ' ᾿Αθήνη κτλ.

The repetition of $\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon$ three times after the bucolic diaeresis is certainly more than accidental. Perhaps Theocritus was influenced by these verses when he wrote (Id. i, 80-81):—

ηνθον τοὶ βῶται, τοὶ ποιμένες, ῷπόλοι ηνθον, πάντες ἀνηρώτων, τί πάθοι κακόν. ηνθ' ὁ Πρίηπος κτλ.

Similar is the anaphora in τ 172-177:—

Κρήτη τις γαι εστι μέσω ενὶ οἴνοπι πόντω καλὴ καὶ πίειρα, περίρρυτος εν δ ἄνθρωποι πολλοὶ, ἀπειρέσιοι, καὶ ἐννήκοντα πόληες. ἄλλη δ ἄλλων γλῶσσα, μεμιγμένη ἐν μὲν ᾿Α χαιοί, ἐν δ Ἐτεόκρητες μεγαλήτορες, ἐν δὲ Κύδωνες κτλ.

Other kinds of rhetorical balance are illustrated by the following passages:—

 Λ 404-405, N 301-302, 742-743, T 365-366, Φ 464-466, X 485-486, Ψ 321, 323, 326, 621-623, γ 11-12 (cf. Theoc. i, 100-101), λ 4, 20.

These are some of the verses which may be cited to show that the bucolic poet has no claim to originality when he uses the pause at the end of the fourth foot to produce a rhetorical effect. Thus from this standpoint also, the epithet 'bucolic' has no real justification.

Two facts already noticed have an important bearing on the theory of the origin of the hexameter. Metricians have stated that the hexameter of the bucolic poets is composed according to its origin, not like the heroic hexameter, of two tripodies, but of a tetrapody and a dipody (Rossbach, Theorie der musischen Künste, 3d ed. III, 2, p. 51; Gleditsch, in von Müller's Handbuch, II, 3, p. 121). The evidence from the poems themselves which is given in support of this theory is, first, the predominance of the pause at the end of the fourth foot in the bucolic poems (Rossbach, l.c.), and, second, the frequent use of anaphora after the pause (Gleditsch, l.c.). But if the Homeric poet sometimes uses this diaeresis more frequently than does Theocritus, and employs anaphora after it in a similar way, the same argument applies to a considerable percentage of the verses of the *Iliad* and *Odyssey*. Either these Homeric verses are derived from the union of a tetrapody with a dipody, or else the bucolic hexameter in respect to origin is the same as Homer's verse.

It remains to examine the use, aside from that already considered, which the Homeric poet makes of this pause. We can do this most readily, perhaps, by comparing the bucolic diaeresis with the main pause of the verse. The similarity between the caesura of the third foot and the pause at the end of the verse scholars have pointed out from various standpoints. Hiatus and the *syllaba anceps* are allowed before this caesura in the same way as at the close of the verse, but not to the same extent. Monosyllables which cannot stand

at the beginning of the verse are not found immediately after the pause, and, likewise, monosyllables which are not found at the end of the verse do not immediately precede the pause (La Roche, Wiener Studien, XVIII (1896), p. 3). Professor Seymour has shown (Harvard Studies, III (1892), pp. 91-128) that there is a strong tendency in the Homeric poems to make the thought complete with the end of the verse, and that to a considerable degree this is true of the pause in the third foot. The poet treated the verse as a thought-unit as well as a metrical unit, and he regarded the half-verse as a thought-unit also, although to a less extent. The first halfverse states the essential facts of the narrative; the second half merely adds picturesque details and is often parenthet-The second half-verse oftentimes may be omitted for successive verses without disturbing the narrative. there are a very large number of tags suited to follow the caesura of the third foot (Transactions Am. Phil. Assoc. XVI (1885), pp. 30-40).

Let us now test the pause at the end of the fourth foot by each of these six principles: (1) hiatus, (2) syllaba anceps, (3) position of certain word-forms, (4) tendency of the pause to separate the essential part of the narrative from the picturesque and often purely parenthetical, (5) possibility of omitting the feet which follow the pause for successive verses without disturbing the narrative, and (6) the existence of numerous verse-tags which are suited to follow the pause.

It has already been established that in regard to the first three the pause at the end of the fourth foot is, in kind, like that in the third foot, just as the latter caesura in the effect produced resembles the end of the verse, although less extensively. (For hiatus, see van Leeuwen, Enchiridion, p. 79; for syllaba anceps, Christ, Metrik, p. 195; for position of certain word-forms, La Roche, l.c., and Zeitschrift für die öster. Gym. XLVI (1895), p. 588.) It is the purpose of this part of my paper to show that in respect to the last three principles, that is, in the influence of the pause on the connection of thought, the bucolic diaeresis has a force similar in kind to that of the caesura of the third foot.

I. The first four feet of the verse carry the burden of the narrative; the last two feet add unessential but picturesque details, or repeat in slightly different form an idea which has already been expressed, the *clausula* being often entirely parenthetical. The material at command is so abundant—nearly 3000 verses—that only the briefest indication can be given, together with a few examples, of the ways in which this principle is illustrated.

For convenience I have divided the material into five groups, basing the division on the form of the clausula.

GROUP A. The last two feet of the verse consist of a word or brief clause joined to the preceding four feet by a coördinate conjunction which is contained in the clausula. This is the largest group and consists of more than 1000 verses. The following are taken almost at random:—

Δ 26 πῶς ἐθέλεις ἄλιον θεῖναι πόνον | ἦδ' ἀτέλεστον, δ 387 τὸν δέ τ' ἐμόν φασιν πατέρ' ἔμμεναι | ἤδὲ τεκέσθαι. Ι 334 ἄλλα δ' ἀριστήεσσι δίδου γέρα | καὶ βασιλεῦσιν Ε 735 ποικίλον, ὄν ρ' αὐτὴ ποιήσατο | καὶ κάμε χερσίν Α 497 ἤερίη δ' ἀνέβη μέγαν οὐρανὸν | Οὔλυμπόν τε. Γ 59 Ἔκτορ, ἐπεί με κατ' αἶσαν ἐνείκεσας | οὐδ' ὑπὲρ αἶσαν, Θ 459 ἢ τοι 'Αθηναίη ἀκέων ἢν | οὐδέ τι εἶπεν, β 220 εἰ δέ κε τεθνηῶτος ἀκούσω | μηδ' ἔτ' ἐόντος,

In these verses it is clear that the clausula is not essential to the narrative. It merely repeats a previously expressed thought in a different form. Take for example A 62-64:—

άλλ' ἄγε δή τινα μάντιν ἐρείομεν ἢ ἱερῆα ἢ καὶ ὀνειροπόλον, καὶ γάρ τ' ὄναρ ἐκ Διός ἐστιν, ὅς κ' εἴποι ὅτι τόσσον ἐχώσατο Φοῦβος ᾿Απόλλων,

Here both the clausula of vs. 62 and the whole of vs. 63 are in a way parenthetical. As far as the burden of the narrative is concerned the clause beginning δs κ' $\epsilon \tilde{l} \pi o \iota$ (vs. 64) might as well have followed immediately after $\epsilon \rho \epsilon lo\mu \epsilon v$, e.g.:—

άλλ' ἄγε δή τινα μάντιν ἐρείομεν, ὅς τέ κε φαίη ὅττι τόσον Δαναοῖσιν ἐχώσατο Φοῖβος ᾿Απόλλων, To show in another way that the poet could dispense with these brief clauses when the narrative demanded it, the following pairs of verses may be cited:—

 Γ 67 νῦν αὖτ', εἴ μ' εθέλεις πολεμίζειν | ἠδὲ μάχεσθαι, and Λ 717 ἀλλὰ μάλ' ἐσσυμένους πολεμίζειν. | οὐδὲ κε Νηλεὺς κτλ.

ω 335 δῶρά, τὰ δεῦρο μολών μοι ὑπέσχετο | καὶ κατένευσεν. and I 263 δσσα τοι ἐν κλισίησιν ὑπέσχετο | δῶρ' ᾿Αγαμέμνων,

Group B. The clausula consists of an appositional phrase. To this group belong the familiar tags, $\pi o \iota \mu \acute{e} \nu a \lambda a \mathring{\omega} \nu$, $i \sigma \acute{o} \theta \acute{e} o s$ $\phi \acute{\omega} s$, $\delta \hat{\iota} a \theta \acute{e} \acute{a} \omega \nu$, and many others. These are too well-known to require further comment. The verses number about 300.

GROUP C. The last two feet contain a brief simile introduced by $\dot{\eta}\dot{\nu}\tau\epsilon$, $\dot{l}\sigma\sigma s$ ($\dot{l}\sigma a$, $\dot{l}\sigma\eta$, $\dot{l}\sigma\sigma\nu$), $\dot{\omega}s$ (postpositive), — 53 verses, e.g.:

Α 359 καρπαλίμως δ' ἀνέδυ πολίης άλός | ἠύτ' ὀμίχλη, Ε 438 ἀλλ' ὅτε δὴ τὸ τέταρτον ἐπέσσυτο | δαίμονι ἶσος, ζ 309 τῷ ὅ γε οἰνοποτάζει ἐφήμενος | ἀθάνατος ὧς.

It may be remarked here that $\dot{\eta}\dot{v}\tau\epsilon$ introducing a comparison is found more frequently (22 times) immediately after the bucolic diaeresis than in all other positions in the verse together (15 times). The comparison is sometimes expanded in the following verses, e.g., Δ 243-245, ϕ 48.

GROUP D. A participle or participial phrase fills out the verse after the bucolic diaeresis, adding some unessential but picturesque detail. It is often parenthetical. This is a large class, including more than 500 verses.

Β 167 βῆ δὲ κατ' Οὐλύμποιο καρήνων | ἀίξασα,

Θ 543 οἱ δ' ἴππους μὲν ἔλυσαν ὑπὸ ζυγοῦ | ἰδρώοντας,

η 340 αὐτὰρ ἐπεὶ στόρεσαν πυκινὸν λέχος | ἐγκονέουσαι,

Α 450 τοῖσιν δὲ Χρύσης μεγάλ' εὔχετο | χεῖρας ἀνασχών'

Α 586 τέτλαθι, μῆτερ ἐμή, καὶ ἀν. ἀσχεο | κηδομένη περ,

φ 413 ἐτράπετο. Ζεὺς δὲ μεγάλ' ἔκτυπε | σήματα φαίνων.

γ 118 εἰνάετες γάρ σφιν κακὰ ῥάπτομεν | ἀμφιέποντες
παντοίοισι δόλοισι, μόγις δ' ἐτέλεσσε Κρονίων.

The translation of Butcher and Lang: "For nine whole

years we were busy about them, devising their ruin with all manner of craft," gives the thought of the poet but not his manner of telling the story. This would be, perhaps, as follows: "For nine years we were devising their ruin, busily, with all manner of craft, and scarce did the son of Kronos bring it to pass." The last two feet of verse 118 and the first half-verse of 119 are alike added thoughts. The first amplifies the bare statement of the fact, and, while it suggests παντοίοισι δόλοισι, it is not essential and might have been omitted.

Χ 412 λαοὶ μέν ρα γέροντα μόγις ἔχον | ἀσχαλόωντα ἐξελθεῖν μεμαῶτα πυλάων Δαρδανιάων.

For the simple statement of fact neither $\dot{a}\sigma\chi a\lambda \dot{o}\omega\nu\tau a$ nor $\pi\nu\lambda\dot{a}\omega\nu$ $\Delta a\rho\delta a\nu\dot{a}\omega\nu$ are essential.

Ρ 408 πολλάκι γὰρ τό γε μητρὸς ἐπεύθετο | νόσφιν ἀκούων,

Ameis-Hentze take $\mu\eta\tau\rho\delta$ s with $\dot{\alpha}\kappa\sigma\dot{\omega}\nu$. But it is simpler to construe it with $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\theta\epsilon\tau\sigma$ and regard $\nu\dot{\delta}\sigma\dot{\phi}\iota\nu$ $\dot{\alpha}\kappa\sigma\dot{\omega}\nu$ as parenthetical. For this use of the genitive of the person from whom the information comes, with $\pi\nu\nu\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$, cf. κ 536-537:—

μηδε έαν νεκύων αμενηνα κάρηνα αἵματος ασσον ἵμεν, πρὶν Τειρεσίαο πυθέσθαι.

"until Teiresias tells thee."

Ω 82 ἔρχεται ὤμηστῆσιν ἐπ' ἰχθύσι | κῆρα φέρουσα.

The Ameis-Hentze edition (followed by Professor Clapp) says this is the only occurrence of $\phi\epsilon\rho\nu\nu\sigma a$ with $\epsilon\pi\iota$ and the dative, the simple dative being the usual construction. The order of words, however, would make it easier to construe $\epsilon\pi'$ $i\chi\theta\nu\sigma\iota$ with $\epsilon\rho\chi\epsilon\tau a\iota$, and to regard the last two feet of the verse as parenthetical. For the use of $\epsilon\pi\iota$ with the dative after a verb of motion, cf. E 327:—

νηυσὶν ἔπι γλαφυρήσιν ἐλαυνέμεν.

The phrase $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho a$ $\phi \epsilon \rho o v \sigma a$ is not found parenthetically elsewhere in the Homeric poems, but we find a collocation of

words similar to the verse in question in Theognis, 207 f., where it is clearly not to be taken with $\epsilon \pi l$ and the dative:—

αλλον δ' οὐ κατέμαρψε δίκη· θάνατος γὰρ ἀναιδὴς πρόσθεν ἐπὶ βλεφάροις ἔζετο κῆρα φέρων.

A further indication of the parenthetical use of the participle in this part of the verse may be found by a comparison of pairs of verses like the following:—

- η 71 καὶ λαῶν, οἴ μίν ῥα θεὸν ὧς (εἰσορόωντες) δειδέχατοι μύθοισιν,
- Χ 434 Τρωσί τε καὶ Τρωῆσι κατὰ πτόλιν, οἴ σε θεὸν ὧς δειδέχατ':

GROUP E. The clausula consists of an adjective of four or five syllables. More than 200 different adjectives, chiefly ornamental epithets, are thus used in about 1000 verses of the *Iliad* and *Odyssey*. Nearly 100 of these adjectives are found only after the bucolic diaeresis. Two verses deserve especial attention:—

ζ 26 εξματα μέν τοι κείται ἀκηδέα | σιγαλόεντα.

1 An asterisk indicates that the adjective is found only after the end of the fourth foot: \dot{a} γκυλομήτης,* \dot{a} γκυλότοξος,* \dot{a} γκυλοχείλης,* \dot{a} γλαδκαρποι,* \dot{a} γραύλοιο, άγριοφώνους,* άγροιῶται, άγροτεράων, άγχιμαχηταί, άδινάων, άθανάτοιο, αἰγιόχοιο, αίδοίοιο, αίθαλόεσσαν, αίματόεντα, αίολοθώρη ξ ,* αίολομίτρην,* αίολοπώλους,* αίχμητάων, ἀκριτόμυθοι, ἀκριτόφυλλον,* άλλοδαποῖσιν, άλλοπρόσαλλον, άλλοτρίοισιν, ἀλφεσίβοιαι,* άμπελόεσσαν, άμφιγυήεις, άμφιγύοισι, άμφιελίσσας,* άμφικύπελλον, αμφιμέλαιναι,* (αμφοτέροισιν), ανδρεϊφόντη,* ανδρομέοιο, ανδροφάγοιο,* ανδροφόνοιο, ανθεμόεσσαν, αντιάνειραι,* αντιθέοιο, αργαλέοιο, αργεννάων, αργιόδοντα, άργυρέησιν, άργυροδίνη,* άργυρόηλον,* άργυρόπεζα, άσπιδιώτας,* άσπιστάων,* άστερόεντα, άστεροπήτης,* άστυβοώτην,* άτρυγέτοιο, άτρυτώνη,* αὐδήεσσα, αὐτοχόωνον,* βαρβαροφώνων,* βωτιανείρη,* δαιδαλέοιο, δακρυόεσσαν, δενδρήεντι, δερματίνοισιν,* (δεξιτερήφιν), δινήεντα, δουλιχοδείρων,* δυσμενέεσσιν, έγχεσιμώρους,* εἰαρινοῖσιν, εἰλατίνοισιν, ἐλκεσιπέπλους,* ἐλκεχίτωνες,* ἐμπυριβήτην,* ἐννεαβοίων,* έννεάπηχυ, έννεδργυιοι,* έννεώροιο, έντεσιεργούς,* έπταβόειον, έπταπύλοιο, * εὐπατέρειαν, * εὐρειάων, εὐρυάγυιαν, εὐρυμέτωπον, * εὐρυοδείης, * εὐρυπόροιο, * εὐρυρέεθρος,* εὐρυχόροιο, εὐρώεντα,* ἡδυπότοιο, ἡεροειδής, ἡερόεντα, ἡμαθόεντα, (ἡμετέροισιν), ἡμιονείην,* ἡνεμόεσσαν, ἡπεροπευτά,* ἡριγενείης, ἡυγένειος,* ἡυκόμοιο, ήχήεντα,* θεσπεσίοιο, θηλυτεράων, θυμοβόροιο, θυμολέοντα,* θυμοραϊστής,* θυσανδεσσαν,* Ιμερδεντα, Ιοχέαιρα, Ιππιοχαίτην,* Ιππιοχάρμην,* Ιπποβότοιο, Ιπποδάμοιο, Ιπποδασείης, Ιπποκέλευθε,* Ιπποκορυσταί,* Ισχαλέοιο,* Ιφθίμοιο, Ιχθυδεντα, καλλιγύναικα,* καλλικόμοιο,* καλλιπάρηον,* καλλιρέεθρον,* καλλιρόοιο,* καρπαλίμοισιν, καρτερόθυμον, καρχαροδόντων, κερδαλεόφρων,* κητώεσσα,* κηώεντα,* κλωμαThe adjective σιγαλόεντα is used 22 times (7 times in the *Iliad* and 15 times in the *Odyssey*), always at the end of the verse. It is a 'standing epithet,' and is employed as such in this verse, even though it is not strictly applicable to the garments in question. The poet wishes the clause to end with the verse, and this adjective fills the last two feet suitably both as to meter and sense. There is certainly no emphasis on the adjective.

η 34 ff. νηυσὶ θοῆσιν τοί γε πεποιθότες | ὧκείησιν λαῖτμα μέγ' ἐκπερόωσιν, ἐπεί σφισι δῶκ' ἐνοσίχθων · τῶν νέες ὧκεῖαι ὧς εἰ πτερὸν | ἡὲ νόημα.

Here are two 'standing epithets,' equivalent in meaning, in the same verse. Compare I 683, where, however, there is not the same tautology:—

νηας έυσ έλμους αλαδ' έλκέμεν | αμφιελίσσας.

That $\partial \kappa \epsilon i \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ (- $\dot{\alpha} \omega \nu$) is used as the 'standing epithet' after the end of the fourth foot in place of the dative (genitive) of $\dot{\alpha}\mu\phi\iota\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\iota\sigma\sigma a$ which would not suit the meter, is seen in Θ 197, ι 101. In the passage in question of course the epithet is the more suitable because of the miraculous swiftness of the Phaeacian ships, and it suggests vs. 36. The adjective is not emphatic. This position is not one of emphasis as has been indicated by the verses already discussed, and as Professor Goodell has shown (*Transactions*, XXI (1891),

χόεσσαν,* κολλητοῖσιν, κουριδίοιο, κουροτέροισιν, κυανέησιν, κυανοχαίτης, κυδαλίμοιο, κυδιάνειραν,* κυλλοπόδιον, λαχνήεντα, λειριόεντα, λευγαλέοιο, ληιβοτείρης,* μειλιχίοισιν, μητιόεντος,* μιλτοπάρηοι,* μυελόεντα,* νηπυτίοισιν,* όβριμοεργόν, όβριμοπάτρη, οἰνοπέδοιο,* οἰνοποτήρας,* οἰοπόλοισιν, οἰσυΐνησιν,* ὁκριόεντα, ὀκρυόεντος,* ὁλβιόδαιμον,* ὁμφαλόεσσαν, ὁξυδεντα, ὁπλοτεράων, Οὐρανίωνες,* οὐρανομήκης,* παιπαλοέσσης, παυροτέροισιν, πενταέτηρον, πετρήεσσαν, πεικαλίμησιν, πευκεδανοῖο,* πηγεσιμάλλω,* πιδηέσσης,* ποιήεσσαν, ποιητοῖσιν, ποικιλομήτην, ποντοπόροιο, πορφυρέοισιν, πουλοβοτείρη,* πυροφόροιο, σιγαλόεντα,* τειχιόεσσαν,* τερμιόεντα,* τερπικέραυνος, τετραθέλυμνον,* τετραφάληρον,* τηλεδαπάων, τιμήεντος, τριγλώχινι, ὑλακόμωροι,* ὑλήεσσαν, (ὑμετέροισιν), ὑψηλῆσιν, ὑψικάρηνοι,* ὑψικόμοισιν, ὑψιπετήεις,* ὑψιπέτηλον,* ὑψορόφοιο, φοινικόεσσαν, χαλκεοφώνω,* χαλκοβάρεια, χαλκοκορυστῆ,* χαλκοπαρήου,* χαλκοχιτώνων, χειμερίησιν, χειροτέροισιν, χρυσοπεδίλου,* ἀκειάων, ἀκυπόδεσσιν, ἀκυπόροισιν, ἀλεσίκαρποι,* ὑμοφάγοισιν,

p. 6.) So the note on Ω 539 in the Ameis-Hentze edition (followed by Professor Clapp) seems too strong:—

παίδων εν μεγάροισι γονη γένετο κρειόντων,

"κρειόντων: d. i. die nach Peleus Tod die Herrschaft übernehmen konnten: die Herrschaft zu erben, mit Nachdruck am Ende des Satzes."

If we compare the Homeric use of these long, picturesque adjectives after the end of the fourth foot with their use in the literary epic, the difference is marked. In Apollonius of Rhodes, Argonautica, Book i, there are but six of these adjectives at the end of the verse in agreement with a preceding noun. According to the law of averages Homer would have used about 50. In the first 1000 lines of the Dionysiaca of Nonnus there is not a single case of an adjective used in this way. The reason is not far to seek. The composer of the literary epic had no objection to 'the sense variously drawn out from one verse to another.' But the Homeric poet preferred a pause in the sense at the end of the verse, and as he had often stated all that was essential in the first four feet he used the adjective as one of a number of devices for filling out the last two feet.

A comparison of groups of verses like the following will make it clear that the burden of the narrative would have been just as complete if the epithet had been omitted, and that the poet did leave out the adjective when the last two feet were needed to complete the sense, or when he wished to begin a new clause at the bucolic diaeresis:—

- (a) Λ 65 πᾶς δ' ἄρα χαλκῷ
 λάμφ' ὧς τε στεροπὴ πατρὸς Διὸς αἰγιόχοιο.
 Κ 153 τῆλε δὲ χαλκὸς
 λάμφ' ὧς τε στεροπὴ πατρὸς Διός. αὐτὰρ ὅ γ' ἦρως κτλ.
- (b) Π 574 es Πηλη ικέτευσε και es Θέτιν αργυρόπεζαν:
 - Ω 74 ἀλλ' εἴ τις καλέσειε θεῶν Θέτιν ἄσσον ἐμεῖο,
 - Ω 83 ευρε δ' ένὶ σπηι γλαφυρώ Θέτιν, αμφὶ δέ τ' άλλαι κτλ.

¹ Homer never uses an adjective of this kind in agreement with a noun in the following verse (La Roche, *Wiener Studien*, XIX (1897), pp. 169, 170).

² loχέαιρα, κυανοχαίτης, έννοσίγαιος, and the adjectives in I 179, 280 are used as nouns.

- (c) Ο 371 εὖχετο, χεῖρ' ὀρέγων εἰς οὐρανὸν ἀστερόεντα · Ω 97 ἀκτὴν δ' ἐξαναβᾶσαι ἐς οὐρανὸν ἀιχθήτην,
 - Θ 364 ἢ τοι ὁ μὲν κλαίεσκε πρὸς οὐρανόν, αὐτὰρ ἐμὲ Ζεὺς κτλ.

These are typical, not isolated cases. Taken together they form one of the indications, which it is the purpose of this part of the paper to point out, that in a considerable number of his verses the Homeric poet regarded the end of the fourth foot as a proper stopping-place. A new sentence or clause might be begun here, or, if he chose to continue the same clause to the end of the verse, he had in stock a number of words and phrases by which no new point was added, but the thought was beautified or explained.

II. The second characteristic of the bucolic diaeresis which marks it as similar in kind to the main caesura in its influence on the connection of thought is the fact that for successive verses it is possible to omit the last two feet without disturbing the narrative, e.g.:—

- Μ 131 τω μεν άρα προπάροιθε πυλάων (ύψηλάων)
 εστασαν ως ότε τε δρύες οὔρεσιν (ὑψικάρηνοι),
 αἴ τ' ἄνεμον μίμνουσι καὶ ὑετὸν (ἤματα πάντα),
 (ῥίζησιν μεγάλησιν διηνεκέεσσ' ἀραρυῖαι)
 ως ἄρα τω χείρεσσι πεποιθότες (ἤδε βίηφιν)
 μίμνον ἐπερχόμενον μέγαν ᾿Ασιον (οὐδε φέβοντο).
 Ε 472 " Εκτορ πὴ δή τοι μένος οἔχεται, (ὕ πρὶν ἔχεσκες);
- Ε 472 "Εκτορ πη δή τοι μένος οίχεται, (ὅ πρίν ἔχεσκες)
 φης που ἄτερ λαῶν πόλιν ἔξέμεν (ἠδ' ἐπικούρων)
 (οἶος, σὺν γάμβροισι κασιγνήτοισί τε σοισιν)
 τῶν νῦν οὖ τιν' ἐγὼ ἰδέειν δύναμ' (οὐδὲ νοῆσαι),
 ἀλλὰ καταπτώσσουσι, κύνες ὢς (ἀμφὶ λέοντα).
- III. The tags which are suitable to follow the bucolic diaeresis are very numerous. Here again there is so much material that only a brief indication of its character can be given.
- (a) All the most prominent divinities and many heroes whose names consist of not more than three syllables have epithets of such length and quantities that the name and epithet together just fill the last two feet of the verse.¹

¹ μητίετα Ζεύς, εὐρύοπα Ζεύς, εὐρύοπα Ζῆν, πότνια "Ηρη, Πάλλας 'Αθήνη, Φοΐβος 'Απόλλων, "Αρτεμις ἀγνή, δβριμος "Αρης, χάλκεος "Αρης, όξὺν "Αρηα, θοῦρον

- (b) In more than five per cent of the verses of the *Iliad* and *Odyssey* the subject, predicate nominative, object, or substantive modifier in an oblique case, with or without a preposition, just fills the last two feet of the verse. This class contains many familiar tags; e.g. θυμὸς ἀγήνωρ, ὅβριμον ἔγχος, νηλέι χαλκῷ, ἐν μεγάροισιν.
 - (c) Some tags are used in several cases:—

Ν. πατρὶς ἄρουρα νηὺς ἐύεργος
 G. πατρίδος αἴης νηὸς ἐίσης οἶο δόμοιο
 D. πατρίδι γαίη νηὶ μελαίνη ῷ ἐνὶ οἴκῳ
 Α. πατρίδα γαῖαν νῆα μέλαιναν ὅνδε δόμονδε χαλκοβατὲς δῶ, ὑψερεφὲς δῶ

The results of this examination of the use by the Homeric poet of the bucolic diaeresis, if accepted, will tend to weaken the argument for the origin of the hexameter which is based on the likeness of the caesura of the third foot to the pause at the end of the verse. For the same argument may be urged for the derivation from tetrapody and dipody 1 since the poet's treatment of the bucolic diaeresis differs in degree only from his treatment of the pause in the third foot.

It is not the purpose of this paper, however, to discuss the origin of the hexameter, but, in concluding, to raise the query whether it may not be conducive to a better appreciation of the poems to reason in the reverse direction? Instead of arguing from the use of the pauses to the derivation of the hexameter, may it not be more profitable to try to understand better the bearing of the musical or metrical pauses on the meaning and artistic effect of the verse? The poet's chief pause in the sense, as well as in the rhythm, is at the end of the verse. Next comes the caesura of the third foot, and after that in order of importance, the bucolic diaeresis. The treatment of these pauses is the same in kind. The

[&]quot;Αρηα, οδλον "Αρηα, δί' 'Αφροδίτη, ἀκέα "Ιρις, φαίδιμος "Εκτωρ, ὅβριμος "Εκτωρ, "Εκτωρ, δίω, "Εκτορα δίον, δίος 'Αχιλλεύς, ἀκὺς (without πόδας) 'Αχιλλεύς, φαίδιμος Αἴας, δῖος 'Οδυσσεύς.

¹ See the article by E. von Leutsch in Philologus, XII (1857), p. 25 ff.

pauses are used to divide the thought into units sufficiently short to be easily apprehended by the minds of those for whom they were composed, by the sense of hearing alone, and without the necessity for repetition as in the case of poems which are meant to be read. The burden of the narrative comes first in a whole verse, or a half-verse, or four feet. Then may follow in a whole verse, or the second half-verse, or the last two feet, the unessential but picturesque or explanatory part, without which, as Professor Seymour has observed, 'we should have prose, not poetry.' By the use of decided pauses in the sense at these (and other) metrical stops in varying combinations monotony was avoided.